IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

HOLCOMBE, et. al,

NO. 5:18-CV-00555-XR (consolidated cases)

Plaintiffs

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant

PLAINTIFFS' DESIGNATION OF VINCE BUSTILLO¹

Plaintiffs file the following deposition designation of Vince Bustillo and hereby designate the following pages and lines of the referenced deposition to be used at trial. Plaintiffs do not include in their designations any objections or attorney commentary that may fall within the page and line references.

For the Court's convenience in locating the documents discussed within the designated testimony, Plaintiffs note the Trial Exhibit Number that corresponds to the document in the Page & Line Column. Documents referenced herein (or relevant excerpt thereof) are attached and numbered as their corresponding Trial Exhibit.

¹ The official transcript pages of the proffered testimony, including the cover page and certification page, are attached hereto as Plaintiffs' Trial Exhibit (PEX) 88, which is incorporated herein by reference. The portions of the testimony that are not being offered have been redacted.

PAGE & LINE	TE	ESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
6:11-23	11	THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your hand, please.		
	12	Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're		
	13	about to give is the truth, the whole truth and		
	14	nothing but the truth?		
	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.		
	16	Thereupon,		
	17	VINCE BUSTILLO,		
	18	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined		
	19	and testified as follows:		
	20	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
	21	BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
	22	Q. Sir, could you introduce yourself?		
	23	A. My name is Vince Bustillo.		
7:1-11	1	Q. Mr. Bustillo, we have never met before,		
	2	correct?		
	3	A. No.		
	4	Q. My name is Jamal Alsaffar. And do you		
	5	understand that I represent the plaintiffs in this case?		
	6	A. Yes.		
	7	Q. And you understand that I represent the		
	8	families who were victims in the Sutherland Springs mass		
	9	shooting that occurred in November 2017. Do you		
	10	understand that?		
	11	A. Yes.		
10:1-7	1	You understand that we're the plaintiffs in		
	2	this case, the families who were shot, killed and		
	3	injured in the mass shooting have sued the federal		

PAGE & LINE	TE	ESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	4	government for their role in in not submitting Devin		
	5	Kelley's fingerprints and criminal conviction to the FBI		
	6	background check system. Do you understand that?		
	7	A. I understand that.		
101:14-20	14	Q. Okay. And you understand that the oath that		
	15	you took here is also the same one that you would take		
	16	if you were in a courtroom, correct?		
	17	A. Yes.		
	18	Q. All the same penalties of perjury apply here		
	19	as they would in a courtroom; you understand that?		
	20	A. Yes.		
49:5-13	5	Q. Okay. So when did you start at Holloman Air		
	6	Force Base?		
	7	A. October of 2009.		
	8	Q. Okay. And we'll talk about so you said		
	9	about the third week of July, 2011, is when you were		
	10	officially not no longer working at Holloman Air		
	11	Force Base?		
	12	A. I was already out-processed by that time, yes,		
	13	sir.		
109:9-15	9	Q. Okay. So when you started in around		
	10	October 2009 at Holloman, you were a SAIC?		
	11	A. Yes.		
	12	Q. All right. And so you were a supervisory		
	13	special agent to the other special agents at at		
	14	Holloman Air Force Base?		
	15	A. Yes.		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
112:14-23	14 Q. Okay. Now, you you went on to say that		
	15 they didn't have a SAIC when you arrived in 2009.		
	16 So they didn't have a supervisory agent in		
	17 charge?		
	18 A. No, sir.		
	19 Q. Okay. And you also said they didn't have a		
	20 D-E-T-C-O when you got there.		
	Now, does that mean they did not have a		
	22 detachment commander?		
	23 A. Yes.		
113:25-114:7	Q. All right. So when you came to Holloman in		
	114 1 2009 they didn't have a SAIC, a detachment commander,		
	2 and that the and the superintendent was on their way		
	3 out?		
	4 A. Yes, sir.		
	5 Q. Okay. When you came to Holloman in 2009, were		
	6 you being placed into that SAIC role?		
	7 A. Yes, I was assigned as the SAIC.		
114:17-22	17 Q. And and what you were saying is, compared		
	18 to other detachments that you were familiar with, based		
	19 on region two command, that Holloman was really not		
	that		
	20 busy compared to some other detachments that you were		
	21 familiar with?		
	22 A. That's right.		
115:3-9	3 Q. And so when you arrived at Holloman in 2009,		

PAGE & LINE	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	4	and I'm talking about the Detachment 225, was that also		
	5	a quiet place in terms of how many cases were there, you		
	6	know, what kinds of investigations were going on?		
	7	A. As I remember, yes. I mean, it was it		
	8	appeared to be a quiet location. Not a whole lot of		
	9	action.		
132:22-133:10	22	Q. Okay. So in terms of, you know, the caseload,		
	23	is that when you arrived at Holloman Air Force Base,		
	24	did you feel like the caseload was overwhelming or not		
	25	for these agents?		
		133		
	1	A. Not. That's just based on my experience.		
	2	Q. All right. On the next page, page 1560		
	3	669, you say: "So especially at Holloman, I mean, you		
	4	know, it wasn't busy."		
	5	So my is my understanding, when you're		
	6	saying it wasn't busy at Holloman when you got there in		
	7	2009, you're saying that the are you saying that the		
	8	cases there weren't so many cases that it you felt		
	9	it was too busy for the agents to handle?		
	10	A. That's that's right.		
135:12-16	12	Q. And and and so that kind of caseload		
	13	that you saw when you arrived at Holloman would not be		
	14	an excuse for the condition of those files being in what		
	15	you stated as a horrible condition?		
	16	A. That's correct.		
12:13-13:2	13	Q. Okay. And you said that you looked at the		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	14	narrative then generated by the DOD IG related to your		
	15	interview		
	16	A. Yes.		
	17	Q with okay.		
	18	So you looked at the interview that you gave		
	19	to the DOD IG?		
	20	A. That's correct.		
	21	Q. Okay. Did you have any when did you look		
	22	at that?		
	23	A. Yesterday.		
	24	Q. Okay. Any changes to it?		
	25	A. No, sir.		
		13		
	1	Q. Okay. Was it accurate?		
	2	A. It is.		
13:12-25	12	Q. I'm referring to the interview you gave to the		
	13	IG.		
	14	You were being honest when you were providing		
	15	them information in that interview?		
	16	A. Yes.		
	17	Q. Okay. And you you said you looked at it.		
	18	You have no changes and no inaccuracies, as		
	19	far as you can tell, with the interview that you gave		
	20	and reviewed yesterday?		
	21	A. You're talking about to the DOD IG?		
	22			
	23	•		
	24	Q. Okay. It's accurate, in other words?		

PAGE & LINE	TE	ESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	25	A. Yes.		
27:19-28:1	19	Q. Okay. So, yet earlier when I asked you		
	20	about the statement that you reviewed, the summary of		
JEX 29	21	this interview in Exhibit 2, and I asked you, did you		
	22	think that summary was accurate and did you make that		
	23	interview under oath and were you being truthful, you're		
	24	referring to this interview that you gave to the		
	25	Inspector General?		
		28		
	1	A. Correct.		
15:1-3	1	Q. Okay. So you looked at the 71-121, 71-105,		
	2	and then the Department of Defense instruction 5505.11?		
	3	A. Yes.		
31:1-14	1	Q. Let me hand you Exhibit Number 4, Mr.		
	2	Bustillo. Now, this is a Department of Defense		
JEX 8	3	instruction related to among other things, but		
	4	relating to fingerprint collection and submission that		
	5	was in effect, I believe, when you were a special agent		
	6	handling part of the Devin Kelley case; is that correct?		
	7	A. What's your question?		
JEX 8	8	Q. Exhibit Number 4		
	9	A. Yes.		
	10	Q DODI instruction 5505.11 was the DOD		
	11	instruction related to fingerprint collection and		
	12	submission that was in effect when you were the special		
	13	agent handling part of the Devin Kelley case, correct?		
	14	A. Yes. According to that date, yes, it was.		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
31:19-22	19 Q. All right. If you could look at well,		
	20 first of all, just this is a mandatory instruction,		
	21 correct?		
	22 A. Yes.		
32:4-10	4 Q. You did not have any discretion in following		
	5 this instruction? Meaning agents when you were an		
	6 agent at Holloman, you had to follow this instruction.		
	You could not deviate from it, correct?		
	8 MR. STERN: Objection.		
	9 A. Cannot deviate from it. Why would we deviate		
	10 from it?		
53:13-18	13 Q. And did was that your understanding when		
	14 you were SAIC at Holloman Air Force Base, that 71-127	1	
	15 was a mandatory instruction?		
	16 A. Yes.		
	17 Q. So you understood that you had to follow it?		
	18 A. I understand it was mandatory, yes.		
195:2-22	2 Q. It's under file reviews. The 71-121 states:		
	3 "Periodic reviews for sufficiency of investigative		
	4 effort and compliance with established policy is a		
	5 fundamental aspect of the investigative process."		
	6 Do you see that?		
	7 A. Yes.		
	8 Q. And if you look down at 4.241.3 [sic], under		
	9 case file, it says: "Case file investigations will be		
	10 reviewed monthly."		
	Do you see that?		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	12	A. Yes.		
	13	Q. So my understanding, reading your statement		
	14	and also what I've been learning from all these		
	15	depositions, is that SAIC would do monthly case reviews		
	16	of all the case files at Holloman.		
	17	Is that is that what you did? Is that		
	18	something you did?		
	19	A. Well, myself and my superintendent, he would		
	20	assist me with those.		
	21	Q. Mr. Hoy?		
	22	A. Yes.		
196:13-197:14	13	Q. Now, one of the examples that is that is		
	14	given in this mandatory instruction under Section		
	15	4.251.3 [sic], a couple of lines down, it says: "For		
	16	example, the FBI's R-84 final disposition report for		
	17	updated charges, disposition and date."		
	18	Do you see that?		
	19	A. Yes.		
	20	Q. So that's the green card that we just talked		
	21	about where when your outfit at Holloman receives a		
	22	conviction, that's the R-84 is a form that you		
	23	actually send to submit to the FBI, correct?		
	24	A. Yes.		
	25	Q. Okay. So did you when you were doing your		
		197		
	1	monthly reviews from 2009 to 2011, did you review it to		
	2	ensure that if there were any convictions, that they		
	3	were properly reported on the R-84 properly		

PAGE & LINE	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	4	submitted, I mean, on the R-84 to the FBI?		
	5	A. They should have been gone.		
	6	Q. And if they weren't and you noticed it, was it		
	7	your job to make sure that they were gone to the FBI?		
	8	A. Yes.		
	9	Q. Okay. Now, do you recall when you were a		
	10	supervisor at Holloman Air Force Base, there being a		
	11	case when a conviction was reported to you but the case		
	12	agent had failed to send the R-84 to the FBI, that you		
	13	needed to fix?		
	14	A. I don't recall.		
197:19-198:16	19	Q. All right. And so in terms of ensuring		
	20	that the investigative file was accurate on any		
	21	particular suspect, you want to make sure that both		
	22	that if a suspect met the probable cause standard and		
	23	the fingerprints were in the file, you need to make		
	24	sure, first, that not only they're collected, but that		
	25	they're sent to the FBI, submitted to the FBI, right –		
		198		
	1	A. Yes.		
	2	Q fingerprints?		
	3	And then also there's a conviction. You want		
	4	to make sure that the R-84 report of conviction and		
	5	along with the fingerprints are also submitted to the		
	6	FBI?		
	7	A. Yes.		
	8	Q. All right. And that was part of your job as a		
	9	supervisor doing these monthly reviews, correct?		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	10	A. Correct.		_
	11	Q. So from 2009 to 2011 so we've talked about		
	12	the weekly case briefings. But from 2009 to 2011, you		
	13	also had the monthly case reviews that you, as a		
	14	supervisor, would do along with your superintendent,		
	15	Mr. Hoy, or whoever else was in that spot, right?		
	16	A. Right.		
211:9-212-15	9	Q. Okay. Mr. Bustillo, we were looking at		
	10	Exhibit Number 5, which is the 71-121 instruction.		
JEX 5	11	If could you look at page 491, section 5.14		
	12	that's entitled reporting CHD.		
	13	A. Where? The other direction. Sorry.		
	14	Q. It's going to say 491 on the bottom on right		
	15			
	16	A. Oh, okay.		
	17	Q. And it's section 5.14. Just let me know when		
	18	you get there.		
	19	A. I'm there.		
	20	Q. Oh, okay.		
	21	Under now, CHD stands for criminal history		
	22	data, just so is that your understanding? You've		
	23	heard that phrase, criminal history data, before?		
	24	A. Yes.		
	25	Q. Okay. This section, 5.14.1, states: "Unit		
	_	212		
	1	leadership will ensure their units use the appropriate		
	2	fingerprint activity to obtain and validate subject's		
	3	fingerprints, check subject's CHD, submit subject's		

PAGE & LINE	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	4	fingerprints and ensure administrative identification		
	5	data are completed, accurate charges or citations are		
	6	listed, and dispositions to the FBI are completed."		
	7	Did I read that correctly?		
	8	A. Yes.		
	9	Q. So you you would be a part of unit		
	10	leadership. That's what your job was part of your		
	11	job, correct?		
	12			
	13	•		
	14	right?		
	15	A. Right.		
213:4-22	4	Q. So what is this is saying is that units	Misstates evidence	
	5	Detachment 225 are need to coordinate with this		
	6	the judge advocates at those bases as soon as possible		
	7	to determine probable cause assessment for actually		
	8	submitting these fingerprints to the FBI		
	9	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	10	BY MR. STERN:		
	11	Q right?		
	12	A. That's what this says.		
	13	Q. Okay. So then first you have the collection		
	14	process and then the instruction also says it's		
	15	imperative that the units coordinate with SJA as soon as		
	16	possible to determine probable cause for then submitting		
	17	those to the FBI, correct?		
	18	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	19	BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		

PAGE & LINE	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	20	Q. Is that right, according to this mandatory		
	21	instruction?		
	22	A. The the instruction says that.		
218:20-25	20	Q. Okay. Now, let's go to back to the	FRE 402 Relevance	
	21	instruction, 71-121. If you look at page 492 of	(SJA	
JEX 5	22	Exhibit 5, which is instruction 71-121, and if you look	supervision/responsi-	
	23	at section 5.14.2, titled "military subject fingerprint	bilities)	
	24	submission," are you there?		
	25	A. Yes.		
222:3-223:16	3	Q. Okay. Now, if you go back to 5.14.2, which is		
	4	page 492, and if you look at 5.14.2.11, it states:		
	5	"Within 15 calendar days after final disposition of		
	6	military judicial or nonjudicial proceedings or the		
	7	approval of a request for discharge, retirement or		
	8	resignation in lieu of court martial or a finding of		
	9	lack of mental competence to stand trial, disposition		
	10	information shall be reported on the R-84, or an		
	11	electronic data transfer equivalent, if it has not		
	12	already been reported on an FD-249. Do not hold the		
	13	FD-249 or R-84 pending appellate actions."		
	14	Did I read that correctly?		
	15	A. Yes.		
	16	Q. So what this is stating is that within 15 days		
	17	of your detachment, or as a person in the Holloman Air		
	18	Force detachment when Devin Kelley was being		
	19	investigated, within 15 calendar days after you receive		
	20	notice of a final deposition, in this case conviction of		

PAGE & LINE	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	21	a qualifying event, that information has to be reported		
	22	on the R-84 within 15 days, correct?		
	23	A. As I understand it, yes.		
	24	Q. All right. And, in fact, it's this this		
	25	instruction and this and that's a "shall" there.		
		223		
	1	There's no discretion.		
	2	You have to do it, right?		
	3	A. Yes.		
	4	Q. Okay. And this instruction is also saying,		
	5	don't hold on to this fingerprint information, or R-84,		
	6	waiting appellate review, right?		
	7	A. That's what it says, yes.		
	8	Q. Okay. So once you get the conviction, it		
	9	would be wrong if an agent says, you know what, I need		
	10			
	11	This. It would be a violation of this manual,		
	12	this mandatory instruction, if a agent said, you know		
	13	what, no, I have to hold on to this until I find out		
	14			
	15	That would be a violation of this, right?		
	16	A. That's correct.		
214:22-217:2	22	Q. Okay. And and if we look at your statement		
	23	now, and your statement's right underneath there, the		
JEX 29	24	one that you gave to the IG, Exhibit Number 2		
	25	A. Uh-huh.		
		215		
	1	Q you talk about a it seems like this is		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	2 kind of something that you talk a little bit about.		
	3 It's about this process of submission to the FBI. If		
	4 you look at page 15682		
	5 MR. STERN: Sorry. What page?		
	6 MR. ALSAFFAR: 15682.		
	7 BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
	8 Q. And you you were being asked: "Do you		
	9 believe that there was any reason that Kelley's assault		
	10 investigation and conviction would not meet any		
	11 requirements to have fingerprints submitted?"		
	12 And your answer was: "No, because everyone		
	13 I mean, there's a standard for printing somebody. Are		
	14 you in a subject interview with him? Yes. Well, guess,		
	15 what? You're going to print them."		
	Right? So you're that is talking about		
	17 collecting the fingerprints, right?		
	18 A. Yes.		
	19 Q. Okay. And if you look at the next page, 16		
	20 15683, the IG investigator asks you: "Now, thinking		
	21 about Kelley again, what was the decision process for		
	22 not submitting those fingerprints after the interview"?		
	And your answer was: "I don't think there		
	24 was."		
	And then you go on to say: "I don't think		
	216		
	1 there was. I mean, there's there never is. There		
	2 never is a decision process on whether or not to submit		
	3 fingerprints. Like I said I mean, it's kind of an		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	4	automatic thing. If you take prints, one set go to the		
	5	bureau."		
	6	Did I read that correctly?		
	7	A. Yes.		
	8	Q. And and you were accurately telling them		
	9	the process at Holloman that you could remember while		
	10	you were doing the Devin Kelley investigation, correct?		
	11	A. Yeah. At that time, yes.		
	12	Q. Okay. And what you're it sounds like what		
	13	you're talking about let's be clear for the record		
	14	here.		
	15	When you say, "if you take prints, one set go		
	16	to the bureau," you're talking about the FBI?		
	17	A. Yes.		
	18	Q. Okay. And we had talked about this earlier,		
	19	in Devin Kelley's case, we actually showed you SA		
	20	Holz actually took two cards. One stays with and		
	21			
	22	one stays with and one goes to the bureau		
	23	8		
	24	-V		
	25	And you're saying, there's no decision 217		
	1	process. If you take them, you send them.		
	2	A. Pretty much.		
217:22-218:19	22	So that seems to be consistent when you were	FRE 402 Relevance	
	23	talking to the IG, is what you just told me. Is it	(SJA	
	24	you now, you collect fingerprints and then you're		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
_	25	supposed to submit one to the FBI. You don't ever	supervision/responsi-	
		218	bilities)	
	1	coordinate with legal on that.		
	2	A. Right.		
	3	Q. Okay. And that's how it was working at		
	4	Holloman when you were there?		
	$\frac{5}{}$	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	6	A. (No verbal response.)		
	7	BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
	8	Q. Was that a yes?		
	9	A. That's how I understood the process to be.		
	10	Whether or not agents conducted you know, submitted		
	11	those fingerprints, you know, based on that, you'd have		
	12	to ask the individual agents.		
	13	Q. Right. And I'm only asking you: So you, as		
	14	the superintendent in charge of Holloman Air Force Base		
	15	y v		
	16			
	17	A. Right.		
	18	Q was how you understood it at that time?		
	19	A. Right.		
219:19-220:18	19	5.14.2.1: "For military members, submit the		
	20	FD-249 through the criminal fingerprint activity to the		
	21	FBI upon determination following coordination with the		
	22	servicing SJA and, as appropriate, civilian		
	23	prosecutorial authority, that probable cause exists to		
	24	believe the subject has committed an offense listed in		
	25	attachment 8. The legal coordination will be documented		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	220		
	1 in I2MS."		
	2 Did I read that correctly?		
	3 A. Yes.		
	4 Q. Okay. So my question is again, we're		
	5 seeing similar instruction in the manual that we read		
	6 earlier, about this legal coordination with SJA on		
	7 probable cause.		
	8 But your your memory of Holloman is that's		
	9 not how it was being done at Holloman when you were		
	10 there, correct?		
	11 A. Correction.		
	12 MR. STERN: Objection.		
	13 A. Well		
	14 BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
	15 Q. Go ahead.		
	16 A. Generally there's no coordination upon		
	17 entering or submitting fingerprints to the bureau.		
	18 They're just submitted.		
43:25-44:9	25 So what I was asking you was we're using		
	44		
	1 Devin Kelley as an example. So we can use any person		
	2 you're investigating as an example. It really doesn't		
	3 matter.		
	4 Once the agent believes probable cause exists		
	5 for a qualifying offense in enclosure two after		
	6 consulting with the SJA, according to this instruction,		
	7 there is no discretion for the agent to then submit the		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTEL
	8	fingerprints to the FBI; is that correct?		
	9	A. That's correct. And right.		
44:14-23	14	Q. Okay. So if you look a little down, just		
	15	paragraph E, it says second sentence, it says:		
	16	"FD-249 shall be submitted in accordance with this		
	17	instruction and final disposition will be recorded and		
	18	submitted using an FBI Department of Justice form, R-84		
	19	final disposition report."		
	20	Do you see that?		
	21	A. Yes.		
	22	Q. Okay. Now, that's mandatory, correct?		
	23	A. Yes.		
45:8-18	8	Q. You were you were the agent supervisory		
	9	agent at the time when he was being investigated at your		
	10	outfit on Holloman, correct, the first time?		
	11	A. I was the SAIC, yes, but I did not collect the		
	12	prints.		
	13	Q. Okay. And when you say "I was the SAIC," you		
	14	meant S S-A-I-C S		
	15	A. Special agent in charge, yes.		
	16	Q. S-A-I-C, which means you were the supervising		
	17	agent in charge, correct?		
	18	A. That's right.		
45:23-46:15	23	Q. Okay. And what this mandatory instruction is		
	24	talking about under "E" is, "once final disposition		
	25	occurs"		

PAGE & LINE	TE	ESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
		46		
	1	Now that means when the person is convicted or		
	2	pleads guilty, correct?		
	3	A. Correct.		
	4	Q. (continuing) then your outfit, your		
	5	Detachment 225 at Holloman, would receive a a final		
	6	disposition report of the plea or the conviction, at		
	7	that time, from the SJA's office, correct?		
	8	A. That's correct.		
	9	Q. And then the moment you receive that at your		
	10	detachment, you are then your detachment would be		
	11	required, under this instruction, to immediately send		
	12	the fingerprint card, FD-249, along with the final		
	13	disposition report of the conviction or plea, R-84,		
	14	directly to the FBI, correct?		
	15	A. Correct		
	16	MR. STERN: Objection.		
87:3-11	3	Q. Okay. So what was the process at Holloman?		
	4	So we're talking again, I'm narrowing it to 2009,		
	5	2011.		
	6	What was your understanding of the process of		
	7	submitting just the fingerprints of folks you were		
	8	investigating once a probable cause determination was		
	9	made, that for a qualifying offense?		
	10	A. As long as we had probable cause, then the		
	11	fingerprints would be submitted.		
51:1-9	1	Q. Okay. If one of those folks that you		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	2 investigated was then either pled guilty or was		
	3 convicted of a qualifying offense under this instruction 4 and then you receive notice of that conviction, you were		
	4 and then you receive notice of that conviction, you were 5 required, under this instruction, you the person you		
	6 were investigating, to submit the fingerprints card and		
	7 the final disposition report to the FBI, right?		
	8 A. It was it was yes, it had to be		
	9 submitted.		
120:14-121:4	14 Q. Okay. What kind of did you when you	FRE 402 Relevance	
120.14-121.4	15 arrived at Holloman Air Force Base as the supervisor in	(training)	
	16 charge or special agent in charge, sorry, when you	(vrammg)	
	17 arrived there, what, if anything, did you do in terms of		
	18 going over the various Air Force instructions and		
	19 manuals that apply to the criminal investigations that		
	20 you did at Holloman?		
	A. That, I don't recall. I don't recall going		
	22 over instructions specifically with my agents. I mean,		
	23 they know how to read. They were they they I		
	24 expect I had high expectations of them. They're		
	25 adults. I I shouldn't have to lead them. When it		
	121		
	1 comes to min minute details		
	2 Q. Uh-huh.		
	3 A they should know these things. So that was 4 my expectation.		
122:13-123:4	13 Q. All right. Did so did you or did you not	FRE 402 Relevance	
	14 sit down with them and and and and	(training)	

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	15	Like, for example, 71-121, which applies to		
	16	criminal investigations for AFOSI, did you say, listen,		
	17	does everyone understand what your duties are under this		
	18	instruction? Do you know what you're supposed to do		
	19	when you're collecting fingerprints? Do you know what		
	20	you're supposed to do when you're supposed to submit		
	21	fingerprints? Do you know what you're supposed to do		
	22	when a conviction comes?		
	23	Did you ever have to sit down and explain that		
	24	to them?		
	25			
	1	123		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	training on on that. Q. Uh-huh.		
	3	•		
	4	A. It may have been a topic of conversation. I don't remember it.		
137:1-20	1	Q. Okay. Now, after you after you became the		
	2	special agent in charge at Holloman, did you do some		
	3	were you responsible for doing the monthly reviews of		
	4	the particular special agent investigations?		
	5	A. Myself and my superintendent, yes.		
	6	Q. Okay. And who was your superintendent?		
	7	A. James Hoy.		
	8	Q. Hoy.		
	9	And was he your superintendent the whole time		
	10	you were there at Holloman?		
	11	A. No.		
	12	Q. Okay. Who else was your superintendent?		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	13	A. Greg Harper.		
	14	Q. And Harper didn't last long, correct?		
	15	A. No, sir.		
	16	Q. Okay. He wasn't a very good agent, was he?		
	17	A. He wasn't a very good superintendent.		
	18	Q. Okay. So Mr. Harper was not a good		
	19	superintendent at Holloman?		
	20	A. Correct.		
139:14-22	14	What if, in your review of a case file, you		
	15	noticed that an agent did not submit fingerprints or		
	16	convictions as you know, in accordance with the		
	17	mandatory instructions that we talked about, whose		
	18	responsibility would it be then to correct those		
	19	mistakes?		
	20	A. If it was found?		
	21	Q. Yes, sir.		
	22	A. The the case agent.		
140:5-141:7	5	Q. Okay. The determination of probable cause is		
	6	made either by the agent or in conjunction with the SJA,		
	7	and the agent doesn't submit the fingerprints to the		
	8	FBI. So that's happened.		
	9	You're now reviewing that file, let's say, at		
	10	the end of the month, because you do monthly reviews.		
	11	You're reviewing that file and you notice, oh, the		
	12	fingerprints should be submitted but they weren't.		
	13	What happens then?		
	14	A. Case		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	15	Q. How do we correct that?		
	16	A. The case agent the case file is brought to		
	17	the to the agent for correction.		
	18	Q. Okay. So you're supervising it monthly. You		
	19	see an error in terms of the fingerprints not being		
	20	submitted when they should.		
	21	You go you then tell the case you go to		
	22	the case agent and say, you need to make sure this is		
	23	submitted?		
	$\frac{24}{2}$	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	25	BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
		141		
	1	Q. Go ahead. You can answer.		
	2	A. If there's a if there's any mistake in the		
	3	report of investigation, it would be brought to the		
	4	attention of the case agent.		
	5	Q. Okay. So you would personally tell the case		
	6	agent, hey, this is a mistake here, you need to fix it?		
	7	A. Yes.		
141:21-142:5	21	Q. So that's kind of what we're trying to figure		
	22	out here. And one of the things we're trying to learn		
	23	is I mean, are you aware are you I mean and		
	24	I don't know if you are. I just don't know.		
	25	But are you aware that not only – that		
		142		
	1	Holloman Air Force Base had a had a problem with not		
	2	submitting fingerprints and convictions of folks they		
	3	are investigating when they were required to?		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
-	4	A. I did not know that there was a problem at		
	5	Holloman Air Force Base.		
143:17-144:3	17	Q. So is it fair for me to understand that you		
	18	know, sort of when you were the supervisor, the special		
	19	agent in charge at Holloman, you really didn't see that		
	20	there was any issue or any problems with fingerprint		
	21	submission and conviction submission to the FBI when you		
	22	were at Holloman for your agents?		
	23	A. Again, I don't recall there being an issue		
	24	Q. Uh-huh.		
	25	A that was brought up regarding our		
		144		
	1	detachment.		
	2	Q. Okay.		
	3	A. I don't recall that.		
191:3-14	3	Q. So you have when you say "we had weekly	FRE 402 Relevance	
	4	case briefs," just so that our terminology, again, is on	(SJA supervision)	
	5	the same playing field, case briefs are referring to		
	6	cases you're investigating?		
	7	A. Yes.		
	8	Q. Okay. So that would include cases like Devin		
	9	Kelley's?		
	10	A. Yes.		
	11	Q. So you would have weekly case briefs going		
	12	over investigations with the the JAG at Holloman		
	13	, 9		
	14	A. Yes.		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
191:22-192:1	Q. Okay. I mean and that's all I'm asking. Is this something that you-all did as much as you could? You tried to do every single week and there's 52 weeks in a year? So I'm saying approximately 50 times a year? 192 A. That's the intent.	FRE 402 Relevance (SJA supervision/responsi- bilities)	
193:7-17	Q. Okay. When you have had these weekly meetings with the SJA, would you select which files would be reviewed and which files would not be reviewed in those meetings? A. Generally the staff how the meetings went were all the agents that were available for that day Q. Uh-huh. A had their cases with them. And they they each briefed the cases that they were working on. Q. Okay. A. And SJA was present, yeah.	FRE 402 Relevance (SJA supervision/responsi- bilities)	
194:1-13	When you would have these weekly case meetings, are these meetings that you would hold with your special agents going on every active case going through every active case to see where it was progress-wise? A. Yes. Q. And you could provide oversight and give your advice. Hey, here's what you need to do and that kind of thing? A. Yes.	FRE 402 Relevance (SJA supervision/responsi- bilities)	

PAGE & LINE	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{E}$	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	11	Q. And the SJA would also be present at those		
	12	meetings?		
	13	A. Yes.		
201:4-18	4	Q. But if you're talking about you're supposed to	FRE 402 Relevance	
	5	be doing case meetings every week with the SJA, so for	(SJA	
	6	one year I'll say 49 to 50 times a year. And then	supervision/responsi-	
	7	during that 10-month year, obviously, you're only there	bilities)	
	8	10 months. That's about how many weeks in 10 months,		
	9	40 give or take?		
	10	A. Sure, approximately.		
	11	Q. So 35 or so case reviews during that year		
	12	A. Sure.		
	13	Q right?		
	14	So 85 during the time you're at Holloman for		
	15	weekly case reviews with the judge advocate. And then		
	16	on top of that around 20 to 22 monthly supervisory		
	17	reviews of the cases as well, correct?		
	18	A. Correct.		
206:22-207:9	22	Q. Okay. And then if we look at the closed		
	23	investigation file checklist, who at the Holloman Air		
	24	Force Base would have been responsible for making sure		
	25	the closed investigation file checklist was done		
		207		
	1	correctly?		
	2	A. That'll be myself and Agent Hoy.		
	3	Q. Okay. So while you were it would be the		
	4	SAIC, or superintendent, that would be responsible for		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY		OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	5 the closed in	nvestigation checklist, right?		
	6 A. That	's right.		
	7 Q. Not a	an another agent. It has to the		
	8 supervisory	person, right?		
	9 A. Yes.			
207:13-208:2	13 Q. And	then if you look at the closed		
	14 investigativ	ve case file, if you go to item 17, that also		
	15 has an item	n for the fingerprints that were collected,		
	16 correct, eith	her high hard copy or I2MS-generated,		
	17 right?			
	18 A. Yes.			
	19 Q. So t	hat's what that's saying is look and		
		that that is also looked at and, if necessary,		
	21 send to the			
	22 A. Yes.			
	=	then 18 specifically is for R-84, the		
		f the conviction, if there is one, to the		
	25 FBI. Make	sure that if there is one, that that has been		
		208		
	1 actually dor	ne		
	2 A. Yes.			
208:16-24	16 Q. Well	l, whether or not it would lock it out,		
	17 like physica	ally lock it out, what this checklist is for,		
	18 under this	mandatory instruction, is to tell agents who		
	19 are supervi	sors, you need to go through and check off		
	20 these check	tlists that are applicable.		
	21 And y	you can't close this file until those		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
-	22	things are done, right?		
	23	A. Until this yes, until this case file is		
	24	I mean, until this checklist is completed.		
233:20-235:4	20	Q. Uh-huh. So if you let's say you arrest	FRE 702 Improper	
	21	somebody for whatever. Let's say they were speeding or	Opinion	
	22	they were drunk driving and they have a gun in the car		
	23	and you do a background check and and and you find		
	24	out that that person is a convicted felon and shouldn't		
	25	be allowed to have a weapon. That would be something		
		234		
	1	that would be important for you to know, wouldn't it?		
	2	A. Yes.		
	3	Q. Okay. And and, in fact, you would then		
	4	know, well, listen, if this this purchase may have		
	5	been illegal and that, in and of itself, could		
	6	enhance that could be a crime in and of itself, if a		
	7	person has a gun and they are in the FBI background		
	8	check system.		
	9	That could be a crime in and of itself, right?		
	10	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	11	A. The your question is, whether or not a		
	12	weapon found on an individual who's a convicted felon		
	13	BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
	14	Q. Yep.		
	15	A could be an additional charge?		
	16	Q. Yes.		
	17	A. That's an additional charge.		
	18	Q. Right.		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	19	And so that would be helpful safety helpful		
	20	in terms of the safety to the public, because then		
	21	because of the information was correctly reported to		
	22	the FBI, you were able to look it up and you found a		
	23	guy a person with a gun who's not supposed to have		
	24	one.		
	25	You are now able to actually add an additional 235		
	1	charge and maybe put that person in jail for a longer		
	2	time period because they were in illegal possession of a		
	3	gun, right?		
	4	A. That's typically how it works, yeah.		
244:7-21	7	Q. Nobody at the Air Force region command, no one	FRE 402 Relevance	
	8	has ever come back and said: Let me tell you what		
	9	happened with someone you were investigating. This guy		
	10	was not only interviewed and detained by your Air Force		
	11	detachment, he was eventually convicted of a serious		
	12	felony, of abusing his wife and abusing a child. He		
	13	served time. He was released. He should have been		
	14	reported to the FBI. He wasn't. And then he went on		
	15	multiple occasions just to a federally farmed [sic]		
	16	dealer, bought guns no problem, and then killed a bunch		
	17	of people.		
	18	Nobody at the Air Force ever sat you down and		
	19	explained what happened?		
	$\frac{20}{20}$	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	21	A. No.		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED			
PLAINTIFFS' COUNTER-DESIGNATIONS						
57:9-19	9 specifically know what date was my last duty day.					
	10 If I had to guess, it probably would be a week					
	11 prior, because it doesn't take that long to out-process,					
	12 turning in your your your weapon, your badge and					
	13 credentials, and then taking care of business on base to					
	14 out-process. It doesn't take that long.					
	15 Q. Okay. So sometime around July 26, 2011, you					
	16 think, was the last time you would have been sort of					
	17 operationally involved on the day-to-day activities					
	18 of					
	19 A. I would say a week before that.					
103:5-12	5 Q. So when you were at you were in the Air					
	6 Force, region two is where Holloman Air Force Base					
	7 was was under region two, correct?					
	8 A. Yes.					
	9 Q. Okay. And so when you were working at region					
	10 two was New Mexico and Holloman Air Force Base one of					
	11 the commands under region two?					
	12 A. Yes.					
106:21-108:3	21 Q. I want to make sure we're we're using the					

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	22 same, because when I've been using report I've been		
	23 kind of using submission/report synonymously. And it		
	24 looks like you're making a distinction.		
	25 Is that fair to say?		
	107		
	1 A. It's a distinction, yes, sir.		
	2 Q. Okay. So let's use the definition that you're		
	3 most you're comfortable with based on your		
	4 understanding and training by the Air Force.		
	5 Submission means what to you, when we're		
	6 talking about fingerprints?		
	7 A. Submission means sending them to the FBI.		
	8 Q. And reporting means what to you?		
	9 A. In general terms, reporting means, calling		
	10 them and notifying them that something occurred.		
	11 Q. Okay. Okay. Got it.		
	12 So for you it sounds like there's actually		
	13 a process difference in your mind when you and I'm		
	14 talking in your mind as a special agent when you were at		
	15 Holloman Air Force Base.		
	16 There is a process distinction between		
	17 submitting a fingerprint and reporting a fingerprint; is		
	18 that fair to say? Those are two different things		
	19 A. That's fair to say, because one doesn't exist.		
	11 Q. Okay. Okay. Got it. 12 So for you it sounds like there's actually 13 a process difference in your mind when you and I'm 14 talking in your mind as a special agent when you were at 15 Holloman Air Force Base. 16 There is a process distinction between 17 submitting a fingerprint and reporting a fingerprint; is 18 that fair to say? Those are two different things		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	20 And and the way I understand it, there is no		
	21 notifying the FBI.		
	22 Q. Okay. So the process in place that your		
	23 understanding of for fingerprints and getting that		
	24 information to the FBI, the process in place was a		
	25 submission process of putting it in the mail to send to 108		
	1 the FBI? When you were at Holloman Air Force that was		
	2 your understanding, correct?		
	3 A. Yes, sir.		
108:9-18	9 Q when you were at Holloman? Okay.		
	10 So the only the only process in place that		
	11 you were operating under as a supervisory and as an SA		
	12 at Holloman was the actual submission via mail to the		
	13 FBI of those fingerprints?		
	14 A. Yes.		
	15 Q. And that's also true for the con		
	16 conviction, correct, you didn't report to the FBI, you		
	17 submitted to the FBI via mail?		
	18 A. Yes, sir.		
127:22-128:1	Q. I think you accidentally said region six, but		
	23 you meant region two?		
	A. I mean, region yeah, I'm sorry.		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
		25		
	1 A	a. Yeah, region two.		
180:13-25	13	Q. No, no, no, what I'm saying is that you		
	14	had this information about the child when you were		
	15	bringing Devin Kelley in for the interview on June		
	16	9th		
	17	A. Yes.		
	18	Q right?		
	19	Okay. And so when he shows up and and he		
	20	vomits right when he gets into the interview room and		
	21	then he says he needs to do it vomit again, you		
	22	already knew that this child I'm asking you about has		
	23	been to the hospital three times in the last week and		
	24	now has bruising on his face?		
	25	A. Yes. I had this information.		
181:19-182:18	19	Q. So at this point, when you're making this		
	20	affidavit to the judge, this and this is a probable		
	21	cause search and seizure affidavit; is that right?		
	22	A. Yes.		
	23	Q. Okay. At this moment that you're making this		
	24	affidavit to the judge, you have you have interviewed		
	25	Devin Kelley, correct?		
		182		

PAGE & LINE	TESTIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	A. (No verbal response	e.)	
	Q. Right?		
	3 A. Yes.		
	4 Q. Sorry. You nodded	d your head.	
	5 A. I'm sorry.		
	6 Q. I want to get a verb	bal	
	7 A. Sorry, yes.		
	8 Q. Devin Kelley had v	vomited in in either you	
	9 or the special agent's prese	sence and among [sic]	
	0 entering into a interrogat	tion room, right?	
	1 A. Yes.		
	Q. Almost maybe even	en vomited twice. Said he was	
	3 sick a second time, correct	et?	
	A. Yes.		
	Q. All right. And you	ı had you knew at this	
	6 point that the child had be	peen to the ER three times in	
	the last week, correct?		
	A. Yes.		
184:5-11	5 Q. So at this point, you	ou knew that the child had	
	6 suffered a brain bleed. Th	ne child had been sent to the	
	7 hospital three times in the	e last week. That the child	
	8 had had markings on its	s face, right, that were	
	9 consistent with either a fa	all or a severe blow to the	

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	10	head		
	11	A. Right.		
237:12-22	12	Q. Okay. So it sounds to me like at the time you		
	13	were investigating Devin Kelley and looking at his file		
	14	to see if cards needed to be submitted to the FBI or		
	15	not, you weren't aware that one of the consequences of		
	16	submitting these cards and convictions to the FBI was		
	17	that person you're submitting on would not be able to		
	18	purchase a firearm at a federally-licensed dealership		
	19	dealer?		
	20	A. You're asking me from my personal knowledge?		
	21	Q. Whether you were aware of that.		
	22	A. I was not aware of that.		
242:10-243:9	10	Q. And do you know, is it has anyone from the		
	11	government told you that the way Devin Kelley tried to		
	12	get weapons, the way that he demonstrated on five, six		
	13	different occasions after he was con after he was		
	14	arrested for a felony and even after he was convicted		
	15	was to simply go to a gun store, a federally-licensed		
	16	gun store, and buy one there? That that's what this		
	17	particular felon chose to get guns?		
	18	Has anyone ever told you that?		
	19	A. No. No one's ever		

PAGE & LINE	TE	STIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
	20	Q. He didn't go to a black market. He didn't go		
	21	to a family relative. He didn't go to somebody he knew.		
	22	He didn't go to some gun dealer, you know, off the		
	23	street. He went time and time and time again to a		
	24	federally-licensed gun dealer.		
	25	Did you know that?		
		243		
	1	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	2	A. I did not know that.		
	3	BY MR. ALSAFFAR:		
	4 Q. Did you know that the gun he used to murder my			
	5	clients and murder all these people in this church was		
	6	purchased at a federally-firearm federally-licensed		
	7	firearms dealer?		
	8	MR. STERN: Objection.		
	9	A. No, I don't know that.		
253:15-19	15	Q. So if a case agent thought he or she didn't		
	16	need advice from SJA, it was perfectly within their		
	17	authority to make a probable cause determination on		
	18	their own, correct?		
	19	A. Yes, sir.		
268:24-269:3	24	Q. And how long were those meetings typically?		
	25	A. About an hour.		

Case 5:18-cv-00555-XR Document 366 Filed 03/12/21 Page 38 of 42

PAGE & LINE	TEST	TIMONY	OBJECTION	ADMITTED
		269		
	1	Q. And those happened how often?		
	2	A. Every week.		
	3	Q. Every week.		

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jamal K. Alsaffar

Jamal K. Alsaffar

JAlsaffar@nationaltriallaw.com

Texas Bar No. 24027193

Tom Jacob

TJacob@national trial law.com

Texas Bar No. 24069981

Whitehurst, Harkness, Brees, Cheng, Alsaffar & Higginbotham & Jacob PLLC

7500 Rialto Blvd, Bldg. Two, Ste 250

Austin, TX 78735

Office 512-476-4346

Fax 512-476-4400

Counsel for Vidal, McKenzie, Solis,

McNulty, and Wall

/s/ Jason P. Steed

Jason P. Steed

JSteed@kilpatricktownsend.com

Texas Bar No. 24070671

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 4400

Dallas, TX75201

Office 214-922-7112

Fax 214-853-5731

Counsel for Vidal, McNulty, and Wall

/s/ April A. Strahan

April A. Strahan

april@ammonslaw.com

Texas Bar No. 24056387

Robert E. Ammons

rob@ammonslaw.com

Texas Bar No. 01159820

The Ammons Law Firm

3700 Montrose Blvd.

Houston, TX 77006

Office 866-523-1603

Fax 713-523-4159

Counsel for Holcombe, Ramsey, Curnow

& Macias

/s/ Daniel J.T. Sciano

Daniel J.T. Sciano

DSciano@tsslawyers.com

Texas Bar No. 17881200

Tinsman & Sciano

10107 McAllister Freeway

San Antonio, TX 78216

Office 210-225-3121

Fax 210-225-6235

Counsel for Amador

/s/ Daniel Barks

Daniel D. Barks, pro hac vice

ddb@speiserkrause.com

Speiser Krause, P.C.

5555 Glenridge Connector, Suite 550

Atlanta, GA 30342

Office 571-814-3344

Fax 866-936-6382

Counsel for Holcombe

/s/ Mark Collmer

Mark W. Collmer

mark@collmerlaw.com

Texas Bar No. 04626420

Collmer Law Firm

3700 Montrose

Houston, TX 77006

Office 713-337-4040

Counsel for Holcombe

/s/ Dennis Peerv

Dennis Charles Peery

d.peery@tylerpeery.com

Texas Bar No. 15728750

R. Craig Bettis

cbett is @tyler peery.com

Texas Bar No. 24040518

Tyler & Peery

5822 West IH 10

San Antonio, TX 78201

Office 210-774-6445

Counsel for Uhl

/s/ George LeGrand

George LeGrand

tegrande@aol.com

Texas Bar No. 12171450

Stanley Bernstein

Texas Bar No. 02225400

LeGrand & Bernstein

2511 N. Saint Mary's St.

San Antonio, Texas 78212

Office 210-733-9439

Fax 510-735-3542

Counsel for Wall & Solis

/s/ Justin Demerath

Justin Demerath

jdemerath@808west.com

Texas Bar No. 24034415

O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo

808 West Ave.

Austin, TX 78701

Office 512-494-9949

Counsel for Corrigan, Braden,

Warden, Stevens, Pachal, McCain, &

Poston

/s/ Tim Maloney

Tim Maloney

Texas Bar No. 12887380

timmaloney@yahoo.com

Paul E. Campolo

pcampolo@maloneyandcampolo.com

Texas Bar No. 03730150

Maloney & Campolo, L.L.P.

926 S. Alamo

San Antonio, TX 78205

Office (210) 465-1523

Counsel for Ramsey

/s/ Joseph M. Schreiber

Joseph M. Schreiber

joe@lawdoneright.net

Texas Bar No. 24037449

Erik A. Knockaert

erik@lawdoneright.net

Texas Bar No. 24036921

Schreiber | Knockaert, PLLC

701 N. Post Oak Rd., Suite 325

Houston, TX 77024

Phone (281) 949-8904

Fax (281) 949-8914

Counsel for Brown

/s/ Jason Webster

Jason Webster

jwebster@thewebsterlawfirm.com

Texas Bar No. 24033318

The Webster Law Firm

6200 Savov

Suite 640

Houston, TX 77036

Counsel for Lookingbill

/s/ Brett Reynolds

Brett T. Reynolds

btreynolds@btrlaw.com

Texas Bar No. 16795500

Brett Reynolds & Associates, P.C.

1250 N.E. Loop 420, Suite 420 San Antonio, TX 78219

(210)805-9799

Counsel for Workman, Colbath, and Harris

/s/ Hugh J. Plummer

Hugh J. Plummer

hplummer@thomasjhenrylaw.com

Law Office of Thomas J. Henry

4715 Fredricksburg

San Antonio, TX 78229

(210) 585-2151

(361) 985-0601 (fax)

Counsel for McMahan

/s/ Craig Carlson

Craig Carlson

ccarlson@carlsonattorneys.com

Philip Koelsch

pkoelsch@carlsonattorneys.com

Joe Craven

jcraven@carlsonattorneys.com

The Carlson Law Firm

100 E Central Texas Expy Killeen, TX 76541

254-526-5688

Counsel for Rios

/s/ Marion M. Reilly

Marion M. Reilly

Hilliard Munoz Gonzales, L.L.P.

719 S. Shoreline - Ste 500 Corpus Christi, TX 78401

(361) 882-1612

361/882-3015 (fax)

marion@hmglawfirm.com

Counsel for McMahan

/s/ Kelly W. Kelly

Kelly W. Kelly

Anderson & Associates Law Firm

2600 SW Military Drive, Suite 118

San Antonio, TX 78224

(210) 928-9999

(210) 928-9118 (fax)

kk.aalaw@yahoo.com

Counsel for Ward

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was filed through the Court's CM/ECF system on March 12, 2021, and the following counsel for the United States have received notice and been served through that system.

/s/ Jamal K. Alsaffar Jamal K. Alsaffar

BRIAN BOYNTON

Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Division

ASHLEY C. HOFF United States Attorney Western District of Texas

KIRSTEN WILKERSON Assistant Director, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

PAUL DAVID STERN Trial Attorney, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

CLAYTON R. DIEDRICHS Assistant United States Attorney

JIM F. GILLIGAN Assistant United States Attorney

KRISTIN K. BLOODWORTH Assistant United States Attorney JOHN PANISZCZYN, Civil Chief United States Attorney's Office Western District of Texas

JAMES G. TOUHEY, JR. Director, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

STEPHEN E. HANDLER Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

STEPHEN TERRELL Trial Attorney, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

JAMES E. DINGIVAN Assistant United States Attorney

JACQUELYN M. CHRISTILLES Assistant United States Attorney